SERVICE \_\_\_\_\_Contents

• VIPs 2938 • Authors 3081

• Contents of Chemistry— 2950 A European Journal

• Keywords 3080

Preview 3082 Don't forget all the Tables of Contents from 1998 onwards may be still found on the WWW under:

http://www.angewandte.com

Issue 15, 2001 was published online on August 3, 2001.

## **CORRIGENDA**

The assignment of the <sup>15</sup>N NMR signals of  $N_5^+$  in the Communication by **K. O. Christe et al.** in Issue 13/14, 1999, pp. 2004–2010 were inadvertently reversed. The signal at  $\delta = -237.3$  (calculated: -235) corresponds to the central N atom N3, and that at  $\delta = -100.4$  (calculated: -95) to N1 (see for example Table 1 in this contribution).

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data given in the Communication by **R. Langer et al.** in Issue 4, 2001, pp. 726 – 728 for dinitro[2.2]paracyclophane **2b** were for a mixture of isomers. Data for the pseudo-*para* isomer as well as revised data for the nitro[2.2]paracyclophane **2a** and the amino[2.2]paracycophane **3a** are given here.

 $\textbf{2a:}\ \delta = 34.36,\ 34.69,\ 34.91,\ 35.93,\ 129.45,\ 129.86,\ 132.31,\ 133.07,\ 133.12,\ 136.37,\ 137.27,\ 137.73,\ 139.26,\ 139.68,\ 142.02,\ 149.17,\ 14$ 

**2b**:  $\delta$  = 34.17, 34.60, 129.13, 133.87, 136.46, 137.36, 142.00, 149.71.

 $3a: \delta = 32.23, 33.03, 34.95, 35.37, 122.36, 122.99, 124.60, 126.82, 131.48, 132.43, 133.23, 135.44, 138.91, 138.97, 141.06, 144.72.$ 

In the Comunication by **M. F. Hawthorne et al.** in Issue 9, 2001, pp. 1661–1664 there was an error in the equation at the top of the frontispiece: it should read  $R^2$ O not  $(R^2CO)_2O$ , and the text at the bottom the page should read "Globular molecules with an icosahedral core—12(12)-closomers—are obtained by total esterification and etherification of  $[B_{12}(OH)_{12}]^{2-}$ . For details as well as for the transformation of an anionic closomer ether into the neutral *hypercloso* analogue see the following two communications". An error in Scheme 1 was also inadvertently introduced; the correct scheme is given here.

In the Communication by **G. de Petris et al.** in Issue 10, 2001, pp. 1938–1941 complexes **1** and **2** in Equations (3) and (4) were erroneously written. The correct Equations are given here.

In the minireview by **P. Braunstein** and **N. M. Boag** in Issue 13, 2001, pp. 2427 – 2433 the isolobal signs were inadvertently missing from the second sentence of the abstract, the second sentence should read:

The C, Si, and P donor atoms of these ligands are  $sp^3$ -hybridized and the ligands are related to each other by the isolobal analogy:  $(CR_3)^- \longrightarrow (SiR_3)^- \longrightarrow PR_3$ .

We would like to apologize for this error.

In the communication by **Y. Gu et al.** in Issue 12, 2001, pp. 2320 – 2322 the protein surface coverages listed in Table 1 were incorrect. Since that publication, the authors identified and corrected a systematic error in the method used to determine surface coverages. The corrected Table is given here. The corrected data show that a spacer arm of three ethylene glycol units (in Gal-3) is sufficient for rgp120 recognition of a galatosyl receptor presented at the surface of a planar DOPC membrane. Longer spacer arm lengths (in Gal-4 and -5) result in less efficient recognition. The authors apologize for this error.

Table 1. Summary of rgp120 binding behavior at planar DOPC membranes, either pure or doped with 5 % (mol mol<sup>-1</sup>) of Gal-3, -4, or -5 in the outer leaflet.<sup>[a]</sup>

	DOPC	Gal-3	Gal-4	Gal-5
$K_{\rm a}~(\times 10^6)$	_	$5.4 \pm 1.8$	$3.8 \pm 0.57$	$2.5 \pm 0.57$
cooperativity coefficient $(\omega)$	_	$1.44 \pm 0.20$	$1.50 \pm 0.28$	$1.59 \pm 0.11$
surface coverage $[mol cm^{-2} \times 10^{-13}]^{[b]}$	$1.6 \pm 0.23$	$3.6 \pm 0.57$	$2.2 \pm 0.19$	$2.2\pm0.13$

[a] All values listed are the mean and standard deviation of two experimental trials. [b] Surface coverages were determined at a dissolved rgp120 concentration of 208 nm, using a modification of the method described by Haldy et al.<sup>[26]</sup>